

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLEAR VIEW WEST, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

STEINBERG, HALL & ASSOCIATES,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [23-cv-04774-SI](#)

**ORDER RE: LEAVE TO FILE
AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CASE
SCHEDULE**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 85, 86

Plaintiff has requested leave to file a first amended complaint to add a defendant entity allegedly owned by defendant Steinberg, to “clarify and support original allegations,” and to “add an important alternative basis for infringement just learned of.” Dkt. No. 85. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court determines that the motion is suitable for resolution without oral argument, and VACATES the June 6, 2025 hearing. The Steinburg defendants¹ do not oppose the amendment of the complaint, but filed a response to “correct the factually incorrect statements Plaintiff used to support its Motion.” Dkt. No. 90. The Court takes note of the factual disputes highlighted by the Steinburg defendants in Docket Nos. 89 and 90 but has no need to resolve them. The Court GRANTS plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff must do so by June 6, 2025.

The Court also grants the consent motion to continue case deadlines, Dkt. No. 86, with a few edits as noted below:

¹ The Court uses the term “Steinberg defendants” to refer to all defendants except Craig Roberts and Craig Roberts Home Improvements, Inc.

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1	Designation of Experts	11/13/2025	
2	Rebuttal Reports	1/5/2026	
3	Close of Expert Discovery	1/30/2026	
4	Close of Fact Discovery	1/30/2026	
5	Dispositive Motion Due Date	3/11/2026	
6	Response Deadline	3/25/2025	
7	Reply Deadline	4/1/2025	
8	Dispositive Motion Hearing	4/15/2026	4/17/2025
9	Pretrial Paperwork Due	5/4/2026	5/19/2026
10	Pretrial Conference	5/14/2026	6/2/2026
11	Jury Selection	6/15/2025	6/22/2026
12	Jury Trial (est. 15 days)	6/15/2025	6/22/2026

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 20, 2025



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge